

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,456	11/19/2003	Peter J. Hopper	100-23600 (P05738)	9865
33402	7590 08/24/2005		EXAMINER	
LAW OFFICES OF MARK C. PICKERING			BRYANT, DELORIS S	
P.O. BOX 30 PETALUMA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2813	
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	A U A/->	Son			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	41'			
Office Autieus Commence	10/718,456	HOPPER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Deloris Bryant	2813				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	'S ~			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commun D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 N	lovember 2003.					
•	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		·				
<ul> <li>4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-20 is/are withdraw</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3 and 7 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☒ Claim(s) 4-6 and 8-13 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.	.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Application of the second in the secon	on No ed in this National Stag	ge			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)			)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/19/2003</u> .	6) [ Other:					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-13 is acknowledged.

#### Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: a detailed description and specification of claim 9 must be included within the detailed description of the invention.

## Claim Objections

Claim 10 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 4. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2813

Claims 1, 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hseih, (6,598,607).

Page 3

Hseih discloses a photodiode comprising: a first region (Fig. 2, 240) of semiconductor material having a first conductivity type and a first dopant concentration; a second region (Fig. 2, 230) having a second conductivity type and a second dopant concentration, the second region lying over and contacting the first region of semiconductor material at a first junction, the first junction forming a first depletion region; and a third region (Fig. 2, 220) having the first conductivity type, the third region lying over and contacting the second region at a second junction, the second junction forming a second depletion region.

Regarding claims 2 and 3, applicant's attention is directed to col. 4, lns 54-59.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hseih.

Hseih teach a third region (Fig. 2, 220) as a doped P-type material. It is well known in the art that p-type material includes Group III metals of which indium is one. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use Indium as a P-type dopant for the third region.

Page 2

Claims 4-6 and claims 8-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Prior art of record does not describe or suggest applicant's invention set forth in claim 4 (and dependent claims 5-6) wherein third region has a first portion that has a first dopant concentration and a second portion that has a second dopant concentration that is substantially greater than the first dopant concentration.

Prior art of record does not describe or suggest applicant's invention set forth in claim 8 wherein second depletion region absorbs electromagnetic radiation that represents blue light.

Prior art of record does not describe or suggest applicant's invention set forth in claims 9 wherein first depletion region absorbs electromagnetic radiation that represents green light.

Prior art of record does not describe or suggest applicant's invention set forth in claims 10-13.

**Conclusion** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deloris Bryant whose telephone number is (703) 872-0237. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,456 Page 3

Art Unit: 2813

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dsb

GEORGE ECKERT PRIMARY EXAMINER